UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE,	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,926	02/27/2002	Lixiao Wang	10527-395001 / 02-026 4859	
26161 7590 07/23/200° FISH & RICHARDSON PC		7	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022		•	SEVERSON, RYAN J	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	07/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		P			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/083,926	WANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Ryan Severson	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ag</u> 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-34 and 36-87</u> is/are pending in to 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19,37-42 and 44-72</u> is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-18,20-34,36,43 and 73-87</u> is/are 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	s/are withdrawn from consideration	on.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/083,926 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to applicants arguments filed 04 April 2007. Chen et al. (6,905,743) reference used as a teaching reference in the previous action has been disqualified as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103 (c) as indicated by applicant, and therefore the previous rejection has been withdrawn.

- 2. The status of the claims is as follows:
  - a. Claims 5 and 35 have been cancelled by applicant.
  - b. Claims 1-4, 6-34, and 36-87 are pending, of which claims 19, 37-42, and 44-72 are withdrawn from further consideration. Claims 1-4, 6-18, 20-34, 36, 43, and 73-87 have been examined on the merits.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, as described above, been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cook teaching a reinforced balloon (see below for further detail).

Application/Control Number: 10/083,926 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,926 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

Claims 1-4, 6-18, 20-34, 36, 43, and 73-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) 7. as being unpatentable over Vigil et al. (5,336,234) in view of Cook (4,637,396). Vigil et al. (hereinafter Vigil) reference discloses the device substantially as claimed, including a catheter with a balloon having cutting elements (19). However, Vigil reference does not disclose the balloon has a second material encapsulated by a first material, wherein the second material has a lower distensibility than the first material. Attention is drawn to Cook reference, which teaches a multi-layer balloon having a first material (24) made of a material that is elastic and a second material (23) encapsulated therein (see figures 2 and 4 of Cook reference) that is less distensible than the first material (see column 3, lines 10-22) to limit the maximum expanded diameter of the balloon, thereby reducing the risk of causing damage to the blood vessel by overexpansion of the balloon. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the balloon of Vigil reference with a reinforcing encapsulated material, as taught by Cook reference, to limit the maximum expanded diameter of the balloon, thereby reducing the risk of causing damage to the blood vessel by over-expansion of the balloon. This is especially useful in Vigil reference because over-expansion with the device of Vigil has the potential to cause significant damage due to the cutting elements that are disposed on the balloon.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,926

Art Unit: 3731

## Conclusion

Page 5

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Severson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 - 5:30.

- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ryan Severson July 20, 2007